DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,))	
v.	j	Case No. 3:17-cr-0023
BETEL AUGUSTO ROSARIO PAULINO,)	
Defendant.))	

ORDER

Paulino") for sentence reduction or compassionate release, filed October 22, 2020. (ECF No. 364.) The United States (the "Government") filed an opposition to the motion on November 3, 2020. (ECF No. 365.) On January 29, 2021, the Court appointed Attorney Lorenzo J. Palomares to represent Rosario Paulino with respect to his motion for compassionate release. (ECF No. 367.) On July 21, 2021, defense counsel, on behalf of Rosario Paulino, filed a reply to the Government's opposition. (ECF No. 376.) For the reasons stated below, the Court will deny Rosario Paulino's motion.

On May 18, 2017, a federal grand jury in the U.S. Virgin Islands returned a three-count indictment charging Rosario Paulino with Hobbs Act Robbery and various federal weapons offenses. On July 27, 2017, the Court accepted Rosario Paulino's guilty plea to Counts Two and Three of the Indictment. The Court sentenced Rosario Paulino to a term of imprisonment of ninety (90) months to be followed by five years of supervised release. His current projected release date is December 26, 2029.

Rosario Paulino filed a *pro se* motion for compassionate release on October 22, 2020, arguing that the COVID-19 pandemic "poses a risk to all inmates regardless [of] the[ir] medical condition" and the prison "creates an unsafe environment and places all inmates in [jeopardy]." (ECF No. 364 at 1.) In its opposition, the Government argued that Rosario Paulino's motion 1) "relies only on a generalized fear of contracting COVID-19; 2) the motion provides no information indicating Rosario Paulino is at particular risk for contracting the

Case: 3:17-cr-00023-RAM-RM Document #: 381 Filed: 11/02/21 Page 2 of 2 United States v. Rosario Paulino

Case No. 3:17-cr-0023

Order

Page 2 of 2

virus; and 3) Rosario Paulino has not exhausted his administrative remedies. (ECF No. 365

at 2-4.)

On January 29, 2021, the Court appointed Lorenzo J. Palomares to represent Rosario

Paulino in his motion for compassionate release. On July 21, 2021, Counsel for Rosario

Paulino filed a supplemental brief, affirming that his client did not administratively exhaust

prior to filing the motion for compassionate release. (ECF No. 377.) Counsel "conferred with

[Rosario Paulino] and the [G]overnment's Assistant United States Attorney assigned to the

case" and "has concluded after studying the record and consulting with petitioner that there

was no merit to the motion for compassionate relief because defendant did not exhaust all

available administrative and statutory remedies and mandates." Id. at 4-5. Counsel thus

represents that the motion is not ripe and should thus be dismissed without prejudice.

The Third Circuit has explained that, prior to a District Court considering a

defendant's motion for compassionate release pursuant to [18 U.S.C. § 3582 ("Section

3582")], either (1) the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") must have had 30 days to consider the

defendant's request that the BOP move for compassionate release on his behalf, or (2) the

defendant must administratively exhaust an adverse decision by the BOP in response to that

defendant's request within that time period. See United States v. Raia, 954 F.3d 594, 597 (3d

Cir. 2020). Failure to comply with Section 3582(c)(1)(A)'s exhaustion requirement "presents

a glaring roadblock foreclosing compassionate release." See id.

Rosario Paulino has not requested the BOP to move for sentence reduction on his

behalf and the Government confirms that he has not made such a request. Accordingly, the

Court finds that Rosario Paulino has not satisfied Section 3582(c)(1)(A)'s exhaustion

requirement. As such the Court cannot consider the merits of Rosario Paulino's motion.

These premises considered, it is hereby

ORDERED that Rosario Paulino's Motion for Compassionate Release, ECF No. 364, is

DENIED without prejudice.

Dated: November 2, 2021

<u>/s/ Robert A. Molloy</u>

ROBERT A. MOLLOY

Chief Judge